

**SNOWMASS VILLAGE COMMUNITY SURVEY FINAL RESULTS 2007
(SECOND HOMEOWNERS ONLY)**

Q1. In a few words, what do you believe are the two biggest issues, in order of priority, facing the Town of Snowmass Village? (FIRST ISSUE)

- M ♦ Access by air
- M ♦ Accessibility (sic), quality upgrades, amenities
- M ♦ Addressing transportation, how to get to Snowmass from anywhere (now limited flights, usually oversold, etc.) Transportation within the valley.
- M ♦ Aging infrastructure - especially neighborhood roads, sewer and water lines
- M ♦ Air access to Aspen
- M ♦ Airport access
- W ♦ All Commercial Space Owned by Related-Westpack
- M ♦ Amount of construction
- M ♦ Balancing the needs of the community with the needs of the resort (e.g., affordable housing, local business opportunities)
- M ♦ Base Village
- M ♦ Base village
- M ♦ Base Village
- M ♦ Base Village
- W ♦ base village
- M ♦ Base Village - too massive
- M ♦ Base Village - Westpac is not fulfilling promises
- W ♦ Base Village completion
- M ♦ Base Village construction
- M ♦ Base Village construction
- W ♦ Base village construction
- M ♦ Base Village development
- W ♦ Base Village effects
- M ♦ Base Village variances - Council must be stronger and smarter!
- M ♦ Base Village, mall reconstruction and Center redo
- M ♦ Base village/level of redevelopment -
- M ♦ Can a person still be able to rent their condo in spite of all the new condos being built
- W ♦ Changes to Base Village approved plan
- M ♦ Complete base village quickly
- M ♦ Completing base village, it is going to be fantastic but some people can't seem to see it!
- M ♦ Completion of Base Village in a timely manner
- W ♦ concentration of high density living
- W ♦ conservation of open land
- M ♦ Construction
- M ♦ Construction mess
- M ♦ Construction problems and delays
- M ♦ Construction problems for the next 5 years
- M ♦ Contain growth and charm of area
- M ♦ Containment of excessive growth
- M ♦ Continuing developer requests to change approved plans
- M ♦ Continuing the major construction investments, but maintain the traditional year round atmosphere and responsibility.
- W ♦ control of building height - keep the height down, mass not as important
- M ♦ Control of growth -
- W ♦ Controlled expansion
- M ♦ Controlled growth
- M ♦ Controlling growth and density
- M ♦ Coordination of development
- W ♦ cordinating all the development projects
- M ♦ Dealing with construction and overcrowding at holidays
- M ♦ Dealing with the future growth that is now scheduled to occur
- M ♦ Defining its identity
- M ♦ Density
- W ♦ development
- M ♦ Development of skiing on Burnt Mountain
- M ♦ Effect of Base Village development
- W ♦ employee housing
- W ♦ employee housing

**SNOWMASS VILLAGE COMMUNITY SURVEY FINAL RESULTS 2007
(SECOND HOMEOWNERS ONLY)**

Q1. In a few words, what do you believe are the two biggest issues, in order of priority, facing the Town of Snowmass Village? (FIRST ISSUE)

- M ♦ Employee housing,
- M ♦ Enhance summer experience
- M ♦ Environment and the destruction of it.
- M ♦ Everything closes too early and there's not enough open in the off-season, it's like a ghost town
- M ♦ Excessive construction activity that demotivates locals and will discourage tourism
- M ♦ Excessive growth
- M ♦ Finishing the planning and construction of all the town's commercial cores in ways that balance the needs of the community.
- M ♦ Focus on Base Village one plan - no revisions on piecemeal basis
- M ♦ From my last visit, I remember the town as ugly
- M ♦ Get base village and the center DONE!
- M ♦ Getting base village completed ASAP
- M ♦ Growth
- M ♦ Growth
- M ♦ Growth: we are losing the "small town" atmosphere
- M ♦ Having the amenities, restaurants and shops to attract people to stay in Snowmass versus going to Aspen
- M ♦ Housing for workers - inexcusable and will ruin area
- M ♦ How can Snowmass become unique? Not like other areas. DO NOT become like Aspen.
- M ♦ How to keep from being too crowded on the mountain?
- M ♦ Impact of Base Village on Snowmass Mall area transportation
- M ♦ Inadequate low cost employee housing
- M ♦ Inequity in Snowmass fees (e.g., 2% RETT in SBV-VS, 1% elsewhere in Snowmass, and SBV HOA fees (for SBV "village" which should be paid by all Snowmass
- M ♦ Infrastructure upgrades to keep up with development
- M ♦ It's growing too fast and way out of control.
- M ♦ Keeping a small friendly atmosphere as we grow
- M ♦ Keeping control over Related-WestPac
- M ♦ Keeping local homeowners here, i.e. affordability
- M ♦ Keeping Snowmass growth under control
- M ♦ Lack of good transport from major hubs to our valley
- M ♦ Lack of restaurants
- M ♦ Losing the NON-Aspen flavor
- M ♦ Loss of special and unique image
- M ♦ Maintain FAMILY orientation, not super glamorous European snobbishness
- M ♦ Maintain ski-hike-bike access and activities
- M ♦ Maintaining a sense of community integrity in the face of Rel-WP development.
- M ♦ Maintaining a viable business core
- M ♦ Maintaining and improving the quality of life for residents and visitors
- M ♦ Maintaining and/or improving quality of life
- M ♦ Maintaining the family, low key feel of the village
- M ♦ Making sure the base village stays on schedule!
- M ♦ Managing during the construction
- M ♦ Managing growth
- M ♦ Managing growth
- W ♦ Marketing/Conference business
- M ♦ More developed retail such as restaurants, book store, etc.
- M ♦ New base village - change of ownership of majority of properties
- M ♦ No conference center
- M ♦ Noise valley from cars and trucks
- M ♦ Not being blinded by commercial development into not recognizing what creates a successful, local town (that is required to truly compete with Aspen). (E.g. resident
- M ♦ Not letting the new Base Village owner ruin the project. We were promised a national hotel. Viceroy is NOT IT.
- M ♦ Not to have the town turn into a place for the super rich. Keep the small town flavor.
- M ♦ Number of skier days
- M ♦ Orderly management of construction projects
- M ♦ Over crowding during the winter
- M ♦ Over development of the town
- M ♦ Overbuilding
- M ♦ Overbuilding leading to either overcrowding or too many vacancies

**SNOWMASS VILLAGE COMMUNITY SURVEY FINAL RESULTS 2007
(SECOND HOMEOWNERS ONLY)**

Q1. In a few words, what do you believe are the two biggest issues, in order of priority, facing the Town of Snowmass Village? (FIRST ISSUE)

- M ♦ Overcrowding and greed
- M ♦ Over-development
- M ♦ Overgrowth with buildings too massive
- M ♦ Overgrowth without preserving what makes Snowmass great
- M ♦ Parking
- M ♦ Parking
- M ♦ Parking
- M ♦ Parking - will there be ample lots for development
- W ♦ Parking which hopefully will be taken care of by new underground parking
- M ♦ People not coming in summer and winter due to construction inconveniences
- M ♦ Poor road configuration for the increase in traffic
- W ♦ Preservation of the best parts of SV through continued careful management of change
- M ♦ Preventing over development
- M ♦ Prompt completion of building projects -
- M ♦ Proper growth
- M ♦ Providing adequate infrastructure to residents, i.e. water, police, fire
- M ♦ Quality leadership
- W ♦ Quality of development
- W ♦ Quality of Life
- M ♦ Rapid growth = loss of amenities
- M ♦ Reduce spending. Such as on the "Taj Mahal" and not very attractive new Village Office structure (you should be ashamed).
- M ♦ Resident lack of trust of the Skico and developer
- M ♦ Retaining community vitality (sense of town)
- M ♦ Roads handling traffic
- M ♦ Scale of new development
- M ♦ Second home owners have no vote
- W ♦ Size of new development
- M ♦ Sprawl of base village and distrust of new owners
- M ♦ Staffing for expanding business needs
- M ♦ Successful completion of Base Village - smarter
- M ♦ Sufficient employee housing to meet the new Base Village occupancy demands
- M ♦ The congestion (sic) noise and absolute uncertainty of the future with ONE MAN in possession of MOST of the village!
- W ♦ the development of Base Village, the Center, the Mall and the area extending above
- M ♦ The enormous increase in size that the village is undergoing
- M ♦ The lack of mountain tranquility and
- M ♦ The non-stop construction
- W ♦ To guide and supervise new base village to help assure it becomes the best in the US
- M ♦ To maintain a competent infrastructure to support economic growth
- M ♦ Too many people (and cars)
- M ♦ Too much building - mall look
- M ♦ Too much construction noise and dust
- M ♦ Too much development
- M ♦ Too much overgrowth
- M ♦ Town counsel (sic) independence - giving in to WestPac for additional bricks and mortar beyond the approved plan is unacceptable stewardship.
- W ♦ Town spending is out of control. Snowmass needs to build reserves for a rainy day.
- M ♦ Traffic
- M ♦ Traffic
- M ♦ Traffic
- M ♦ Traffic
- M ♦ Traffic and parking
- M ♦ Traffic control
- M ♦ Traffic flow
- M ♦ Traffic flow and parking for added density of people in Base Village
- M ♦ Traffic stops up Brush Creek Road
- M ♦ Traffic/parking and density
- M ♦ Water

**SNOWMASS VILLAGE COMMUNITY SURVEY FINAL RESULTS 2007
(SECOND HOMEOWNERS ONLY)**

Q1. In a few words, what do you believe are the two biggest issues, in order of priority, facing the Town of Snowmass Village? (FIRST ISSUE)

- M ♦ Water - wasted through bad pipes and too much frivolous landscaping, fire danger from inadequate resources
- M ♦ We need to develop, ASAP, upscale shopping, dining, and entertainment venues, so we can stay in Snowmass and not have to drive into Aspen!
- M ♦ Where will the WATER come from as 10,000 people shower at once? not to mention flush
- M ♦ With the new base village coming on line in the next few years,
- M ♦ Years of construction ahead of us need to be managed

**SNOWMASS VILLAGE COMMUNITY SURVEY FINAL RESULTS 2007
(SECOND HOMEOWNERS ONLY)**

Q1. In a few words, what do you believe are the two biggest issues, in order of priority, facing the Town of Snowmass Village? (SECOND ISSUE)

- M ♦ (illegible) for employee housing - less infrastructure
- M ♦ a lack of summer stewardship of the mountain
- W ♦ A long term infrastructure plan is necessary.
- W ♦ Ability to walk to village center from anywhere up Fanny Hill
- M ♦ Addressing how to bring visitors to Snowmass as construction continues
- M ♦ Affordable housing
- M ♦ Aging mountainside properties
- M ♦ Aging skier population
- M ♦ Airport
- M ♦ And allowing a hotel switch to a chain named after a cigarette is very discouraging. We need an internationally known brand hotel.
- M ♦ Approval process of these projects so Snowmass remains attractive
- M ♦ Are we overbuilding?
- M ♦ Attracting summer visitors
- M ♦ Avoid becoming another Vail
- M ♦ balancing need of year-round residents with those of resort visitors and second homeowners
- W ♦ base village
- M ♦ Base Village construction
- W ♦ base village on and on
- M ♦ Bears
- M ♦ Bickering between factions on B.V.
- M ♦ Building adequate - infrastructure to support influx of new people, including retail essentials and village staffing
- M ♦ Completion of Base Village
- M ♦ Congestion and overbuilding
- M ♦ Construction disruptions
- M ♦ Construction inconvenience everywhere
- M ♦ Construction mess and road delays
- M ♦ Control by real estate interests
- M ♦ Control of developer
- M ♦ Control of fiscal expenses
- M ♦ Cookie-cutter services and atmosphere
- W ♦ Cost of Life
- M ♦ Council agreeing to entertain and make inappropriate changes to plans, zoning and building approvals, etc. resulting in inferior town development
- M ♦ Creating a sense of community between all who come. Everybody has become negative!
- M ♦ Employee housing
- M ♦ Employee housing
- M ♦ Employee housing
- W ♦ Employee Housing
- M ♦ Employee housing - having adequate #
- M ♦ Ensure there are enough available employees for businesses
- M ♦ Ensuring that traffic flows do not overwhelm the ingress/egress roads
- M ♦ Entryway and rec center
- M ♦ Escalating prices
- M ♦ Escalating prices and cost of living (visiting) Snowmass
- M ♦ Excessive construction and permits granted disturbing life for locals and renters
- M ♦ Excessively high ski ticket costs for beginner skiers
- M ♦ Extended construction season
- M ♦ Fiscal responsibility
- M ♦ Fiscal responsibility - the amount being spent on the rec center is excessive over other necessities
- M ♦ Full integration of existing properties into Base Village development
- M ♦ Future development to Snowmass Center and West Village planning
- M ♦ Getting the Center Renovation started!
- M ♦ Getting year-round vacationers
- M ♦ Growth and traffic
- M ♦ Having the number of people to financially support the amenities, restaurants and shops
- M ♦ Hike and bike trails need to be developed
- M ♦ Housing for additional working people for base village

**SNOWMASS VILLAGE COMMUNITY SURVEY FINAL RESULTS 2007
(SECOND HOMEOWNERS ONLY)**

Q1. In a few words, what do you believe are the two biggest issues, in order of priority, facing the Town of Snowmass Village? (SECOND ISSUE)

-
- M ♦ How to cope with the construction - losing tourists because of it
 - M ♦ How to keep from being too crowded in town and on roads
 - W ♦ Increased Construction Costs Due to High Demand
 - M ♦ Integration of Base Village into Snowmass mountain
 - M ♦ It seems the town has lost control of Base Village
 - M ♦ Keeping integrity of SV as it
 - M ♦ keeping open space
 - W ♦ keeping SV a family oriented community
 - M ♦ Keeping the resort affordable for our target market - families
 - M ♦ Lack of cultural arts - need an arts center
 - M ♦ Lack of restaurants for the number of hot beds
 - M ♦ Loss of "village" feeling
 - M ♦ Lost natural beauty
 - M ♦ Lower taxes
 - M ♦ Maintaining a pristine environment
 - W ♦ Make sure we have the transportation in place for incresed traffic , roads, buses,etc
 - M ♦ Managing the development process
 - M ♦ Marketing
 - W ♦ marketing
 - M ♦ Marketing Snowmass Village
 - M ♦ Most of SV owned by one person - i.e., Pat Smith
 - M ♦ Movement of mall to lower base with a "going out of business" feel to the mall
 - M ♦ Need for adequate parking in ski village center areas including Base Village
 - M ♦ need to balance the provision of improved facilities and vitality with the lower-key character of Snowmass Village
 - M ♦ NO NEW PROJECTS to be undertaken unless full and ample time is given to residence (sic) and second homeowners
 - M ♦ Noise and litter pollution
 - M ♦ Not letting prices for homes cause only absentee owners
 - M ♦ Offering more lenient permits to promote growth and keep updated
 - W ♦ over-indulgence in community projects
 - W ♦ Overtaxed services
 - M ♦ Parking
 - M ♦ parking
 - M ♦ Parking in center area for residents
 - M ♦ Parking in face of growth
 - W ♦ Planning of the Snowmass Center and West Village
 - M ♦ Pollution in our air (see the Aspen Daily) smog is on the rise
 - M ♦ Price to live here
 - M ♦ Providing amenities to attract and hold guests after the lifts have closed
 - M ♦ Providing the necessary infrastructure, without having to tax us further
 - M ♦ Quality leadership
 - W ♦ Quantity of development
 - M ♦ Recycling mandatory for residential and commercial, label receptacles better
 - M ♦ Replacing center without disruption
 - M ♦ Retaining the "old" Snowmass feeling of beauty, Western flavor, mountain look
 - M ♦ Retaining valued residents during the construction decade to come
 - M ♦ Sardy Field
 - M ♦ saving the feel of Snowmass Village as it is today and the past. This is Colorado, not some big city elsewhere, that is why I am here as a second home owner. Please
 - M ♦ Ski Co takeover and disregard of private homes and enterprise (sic)
 - M ♦ Small town camaraderie
 - M ♦ Snowmass is ultimately here because of skiing. Are we going to remember that in all these grand plans?
 - M ♦ Snowmass spending is out of control (no provision for downturn) - cannot afford to operate with a blank check book
 - M ♦ staffing projected increases in retail, restaurants, etc.
 - W ♦ Summer business
 - M ♦ Survival of small businesses
 - M ♦ Taxes - sales tax higher than Aspen. I don't ski in Snowmass because of this.
 - M ♦ The Base Village is way too big - should have been 30% less.

**SNOWMASS VILLAGE COMMUNITY SURVEY FINAL RESULTS 2007
(SECOND HOMEOWNERS ONLY)**

Q1. In a few words, what do you believe are the two biggest issues, in order of priority, facing the Town of Snowmass Village? (SECOND ISSUE)

- M ♦ The mess of redoing the Center
- M ♦ The Mountain Village - redevelopment
- M ♦ then strict limitation of further development
- M ♦ Too many people - we do not want to be like Aspen
- M ♦ Too much traffic
- W ♦ town council is really lame-no vision
- M ♦ Traffic
- W ♦ traffic
- W ♦ traffic and parking
- M ♦ Transportation
- M ♦ Tying traffic
- M ♦ Unresponsive local government
- M ♦ Value of vacation destination of the area in relation to other areas
- M ♦ Water rights
- M ♦ we will not have enough water
- W ♦ zoning inconsistency

**SNOWMASS VILLAGE COMMUNITY SURVEY FINAL RESULTS 2007
(SECOND HOMEOWNERS ONLY)**

Q2 (Direction of Town of Snowmass Village - 1=Right Direction, 2=Wrong Track, 3=Don't Know) Please feel free to explain your response:

- W 1 ♦ 1. I think a comprehensive plan of all 3 or 4(?) nodes is necessary before development continues any further. I fear that critical pieces may be left out unless this is
- W 1 ♦ A great deal of effort seems to be being made to keep dirt down. Buildings appear to be very attractive as they are going up. Children's center is certainly an
- M 1 ♦ After 25 years of talking, we are finally seeing positive results - long overdue to be economically viable!
- M 1 ♦ Amenities both on-mountain and at the base will add significantly to the overall experience. Entryway amenities (rec center, etc.) a big "plus"
- W 1 ♦ Base Village is excellent in form and substance. A needed boost to the resort pat of our town, without altering the unique nature of it.
- W 1 ♦ But much more detail and discussion needed on development plans for all the 3 commercial and residential nodes contemplating future development.
- M 1 ♦ Construction is always difficult but it is the right direction. I live with construction in my other home town but results are worth it.
- M 1 ♦ Development is good, but overcrowding without proper facilities is not
- M 1 ♦ Generally, development and redevelopment necessary
- M 1 ♦ I'd like us to slow down though
- M 1 ♦ Improvements for destination resort
- M 1 ♦ Long term GAIN, short term PAIN
- M 1 ♦ Maybe with the increase in businesses there will be restaurants of quality open all year 'round
- M 1 ♦ Mostly. The new base village is good if contained and limited to initial plan. High-rise hotels/elevations should be blocked.
- M 1 ♦ Need renewal and progress - always
- M 1 ♦ Need the updates and base village, but need to be managed and citizens informed
- M 1 ♦ New base is an economic necessity
- W 1 ♦ On issues 1 and 2 above I believe the direction is wrong.
- M 1 ♦ On right track but going beyond concept
- M 1 ♦ Poor start - better corrective actions
- W 1 ♦ So far. It's early yet to assess the quality of the Base Village development final product. So far, so good, however.
- M 1 ♦ Thanks to approval of Base Village
- M 1 ♦ Thanks to Pat Smith and Related West Pac
- M 1 ♦ The scale of these projects is too large
- W 1 ♦ There are many positive events happening in the community. Many times there are groups that tend to focus on the negative aspects of projects. This does not
- M 1 ♦ To date, question as to future
- M 1 ♦ We need to insure we have a positive environment for local, permanent residents
- M 1 ♦ We were lacking as a first class resort, this will revive the entire town as it should
- M 2 ♦ A wonderful town is being assaulted by "progress"
- M 2 ♦ Again too many people, it will be CROWDED
- M 2 ♦ Allowed too much height and density in new Base Village - bad aesthetics just like the mass of Woodrun Place
- W 2 ♦ Am afraid Snowmass Village will loose many of its support businesses such as the cleaners, gas station, adequate size market, affordable restaurants. Full and
- W 2 ♦ anti development is just great for us who have already bought. In the end, the locals will shoot themselves in the foot as your only solution is to tax in increasing
- M 2 ♦ Becoming more costly but less distinct from competition
- M 2 ♦ Building on green space
- M 2 ♦ Council should just say "no" to developers and architects who submit commercial applications not in compliance with town plans, zoning and lot codes.
- M 2 ♦ Delays occasioned by over-regulation seem to be a major problem
- M 2 ♦ Don't include owners and non-res.
- M 2 ♦ Don't like Base Village
- M 2 ♦ Don't like size and scope of Base Village!
- M 2 ♦ Excessive emphasis on commercial and excess scale of facilities
- M 2 ♦ Get Westpac back on course
- W 2 ♦ Having only one main commercial owner is dangerous. Maybe it will work, but it is a big risk.
- M 2 ♦ I wish Base Village issues could be settled - approvals originally OK'd should be honored as well as timetables
- M 2 ♦ It was the European-like atmosphere of Snowmass that attracted us to it. It is losing that.
- M 2 ♦ Lack of planning - giving developers everything they want
- M 2 ♦ Letting seasonal workers vote as residents
- M 2 ♦ Money is not the only issue in life. Sometimes we have to remember we all need a place of peace. This village is a place to connect to the environment no tan
- M 2 ♦ New development is overkill. Need to change name to Snowmass City
- M 2 ♦ Overdevelopment, too commercial, will leave soon for Big Sky, MT
- W 2 ♦ Putting in two boutique hotels does not encourage families to come here. We need rooms, but not two Little Nell type hotels
- M 2 ♦ Should be stronger on comprehensive plan for long term
- M 2 ♦ Since new council took office. Unable to make decisions.
- M 2 ♦ Snowmass is becoming a resort - not a village
- W 2 ♦ Snowmass spending for the rec, center, new town hall, road improvements, etc. has resulted in great amenities, but these amenities will also require additional
- M 2 ♦ Snowmass was asleep at the switch - now too many projects of excessive scale are jammed into the same timeframe

**SNOWMASS VILLAGE COMMUNITY SURVEY FINAL RESULTS 2007
(SECOND HOMEOWNERS ONLY)**

Q2 (Direction of Town of Snowmass Village - 1=Right Direction, 2=Wrong Track, 3=Don't Know) Please feel free to explain your response:

- M 2 ♦ Some growth is OK, gondola is sound improvement
- M 2 ♦ Survey should have been done years ago
- M 2 ♦ The Center and Mall will probably be too large too, no more SM Village. The base village project is way out of scale.
- M 2 ♦ The development project is totally out of control
- M 2 ♦ The Viceroy is not a family oriented hotel and enlarging the Little Nell makes more units more expensive
- M 2 ♦ The village is a shambles
- M 2 ♦ They are ignoring the current mall and what will happen to it
- M 2 ♦ Too much construction and amplified noise. Who will come back?
- M 2 ♦ Too much emphasis on money, character being lost
- M 2 ♦ Too much like Vail
- M 2 ♦ Town is too conservative when it comes to helping the individual such as permits
- M 2 ♦ Trying to be monopolistic
- M 2 ♦ Trying to become another "Aspen" - destroying the charm that made it worthwhile
- M 2 ♦ Trying to slow construction!!
- M 2 ♦ We're on a fast track and need to slow down some
- M 2 ♦ While mountain improvements are great, losing unique look in village
- M 3 ♦ As a developer, I have seen this type of growth in many areas. Almost without exception the government responds after they have a problem.
- W 3 ♦ Hard to evaluate long-term effect of both of the above issues. Concerned, however, that too much community development is being done too quickly.
- M 3 ♦ I read the Sun and results seem mixed, lots of contention
- M 3 ♦ I'm not well informed.
- M 3 ♦ Not sure of effects of Base Village and apparent monopoly of West Pac
- W 3 ♦ related west pac has too much influence- teh TOSV should direct development in TOSV
- M 3 ♦ Something had to be done - but not certain this is the right path
- M 3 ♦ Too much silly talk about the gas station - we need one! & too \$\$ City Hall discussion
- M 3 ♦ Was on track until you allowed the village to be controlled by one entity
- M 3 ♦ We are concerned about change in ownership of Base Village and the total control of West Pac
- M 3 ♦ We've only been here 3 months.
- M ♦ Enough growth has occurred to last for 5 years
- M ♦ I think the base village was ok. We needed a little more facilities but I do not want much further developed & further commercial (illegible).
- M ♦ Things are moving at too rapid a pace with still many unanswered questions
- M ♦ We needed change however know (sic) appear "out of control"
- M ♦ We needed updating but not a hotel to take away our new

**SNOWMASS VILLAGE COMMUNITY SURVEY FINAL RESULTS 2007
(SECOND HOMEOWNERS ONLY)**

Q4 (Town Boards) Do you have any comments on your response?

- M ♦ Council doesn't take (advice) of boards after they have done a great deal of study and analysis
- M ♦ Did not like the decision that banned bringing wine to the concerts.
- M ♦ Everyone is just looking for \$ - no REAL community involvement for the good of the community
- M ♦ Feel we as second homeowners should have some say (vote) in all town matters and then would pay more attention
- M ♦ I appreciate the 2nd Homeowners Advisory Board; I've felt we have little voice and assume that will improve
- M ♦ I occasionally hear something about committees but am not aware of what they do.
- M ♦ Just don't know and need to be better informed about how the city is organized and how they influence the town and ski mountain
- M ♦ Keep Benedict trail as it is down to Brush Creek at Tamarack, not to Base Village
- M ♦ Like what the Marketing/Special Events group has tried over the last few years. Glad there's a Second Homeowners Board.
- M ♦ Marketing to tourists - very poor. Marketing to residents - very good.
- M ♦ Marketing/special events change is needed - people are not stimulated by the same antique shows, etc.
- M ♦ Most of these boards are in the hands or pockets of the developer - we trust none of them
- M ♦ Need more interaction - notices, meetings, etc.
- M ♦ Need new trail maps! Would like to have newsletter or web line for activities.
- M ♦ No real effort has been made to find out what second homeowners want/need
- M ♦ Non resident homeowners need vote on local matters! I pay taxes.
- M ♦ Not pleased with the way the Snowmass Chapel Board was treated
- M ♦ Off track - too money oriented
- M ♦ Overall, the boards have done a satisfactory service
- M ♦ Planning Commission approved Viceroy Hotel, Marketing Board eliminated BYOB for concerts, Second Homeowners improving but needs more input with council and
- M ♦ Planning commission seems to take too long to make decisions - or maybe it's the town council?
- M ♦ Please protect the trails along construction. Minimize closures, find alternative SAFE routes
- M ♦ Proud of the new community amenities, rec center, swimming, proposed gym
- M ♦ Reopen parking for Tom Blake/Owl Creek trails!
- M ♦ Second home owners, despite the contribution of property taxes to the budget, have no serious voice. This is shame because many have skills which could be helpful.
- M ♦ Should allow people to bring wine, etc. to concerts. Also should be less disdain for second homeowners.
- M ♦ Should change town governance model to one where Planning Commissioner (and Planning Dept.) decides planning issues and applications, rather than
- M ♦ Sinclair Meadows trail did not keep to original signed agreement with Ski Co
- M ♦ Ski prices are out of control. It's too expensive for many families.
- M ♦ SLOW DOWN PERMIT GRANTS and inform homeowners if development cozies up to a current neighborhood (i.e., Sinclair Meadows)
- M ♦ The 2nd Homeowners is ineffective because it is powerless to impress on the Town Council the preferences of its constituency
- M ♦ They do not meet often enough and when they do they do not take the time to listen to the few second-homeowners that do show up
- M ♦ VERY slow planning approvals
- M ♦ We are out of state residents and pay your taxes, but had no vote
- M ♦ What is second homeowners board doing??
- M ♦ Where are they, where do they meet, what do they do
- M ♦ Why didn't the council design a new City Hall that compliments the new Basse Village. Your arrogance and lack of sophistication is reflected in the design.
- M ♦ Why don't I know about the second homeowner's board? I'm a second homeowner
- M ♦ Would like to know more! Planning Commission needs to enforce zoning and ensure current homeowners' rights.
- M ♦ Would the Board ever consider letting second homeowners vote?
- W ♦ No, as a part time resident I have attended meetings of the Town council, and am familiar with some boards, but not others
- W ♦ now that I've become aware of the web sites and can receive updates on town government activities, I'll have a much better idea of all the work going on.
- W ♦ Second homeowners board is a joke. Town council has no interest in what they say. The requirement that you can't live in Snowmass more than "x" months per year
- W ♦ Since we're second home owners, what is the Second Homeowners' Board?
- W ♦ The Second Homeowner Board is a great idea, but they need to reach out to those that may not be available to attend the meetings (i.e. via surveys, etc.).

**SNOWMASS VILLAGE COMMUNITY SURVEY FINAL RESULTS 2007
(SECOND HOMEOWNERS ONLY)**

Q8 Do you have any specific comments concerning Planning or Public Works?

- M ♦ By the condition of the roads, one wouldn't know this dept. existed.
- M ♦ Can't you recycle more items?
- M ♦ Excellent and very responsive!
- M ♦ Garbage/recycling sites are unlit - it's impossible to open combination locks without light!
- M ♦ Great and responsive trash removal folks
- M ♦ I am embarrassed that SV does not recycle more - they should be leaders in this field
- M ♦ I believe Planning and/or the City Mgr. was involved w/petition at Country Club Town Homes, and thought this situation was badly handled
- M ♦ I'd like to see a wider array of recycling - plastic containers and glass
- M ♦ It would be nice to have a trash station near bottom of Wood Road
- M ♦ Keep up the good work
- M ♦ Keep up the good work - are you part of this town?
- M ♦ More convenient dump sites, better recycling
- M ♦ More stations for garbage and recycling are needed - a waste of gas to drive 4 miles round trip to recycle
- M ♦ Need more recycling facilities
- M ♦ Planning Department: Excellent staff, analysis review and administration in sync with planning commission, but ignored, undercut, overridden by Town Council, to
- M ♦ Planning dept. does not consider the public vs. ski co. for on-mountain issues. Public works took away the garbage shed for Woodrun res. and did not replace it.
- M ♦ Planning Dept. should keep community updated on review time necessary for issuing building permits
- M ♦ Public works needs to increase the number of snow removal vehicles and do a better job on the roads after a storm
- M ♦ Recycle more plastics
- M ♦ Snow removal blocks my drive. Unresponsive to multiple complaints.
- M ♦ Snow removal on parking lots leaves a very slippery surface
- M ♦ Street maintenance? Ha!
- M ♦ The last 2 years the plows have been very aggressive in plowing into yards on Horse Ranch Drive despite having multiple stakes up. We have had significant repairs
- M ♦ They don't enforce cleaning up around job sites and all the mud and gravel that result
- M ♦ Town dumped snow on my RV (illegible) and broke sewer line
- M ♦ Traffic is a mess this summer
- M ♦ We need a Wood Road recycling site
- M ♦ What are we going to do with all the waste from this "new" village?
- M ♦ Would like more streetwashing to control dust
- W ♦ Hunt and John are responsive and helpful while being fair.
- W ♦ Hunt and JOhn are terrific.
- W ♦ My experience with Planning two plus years ago was that they were courteous, slow and indecisive. Once I made enough fuss, however, Chris Conrad stepped in and
- W ♦ Need to have easy accesses to recycle office paper and magazines rather than having to drive to the public works yard. Often these recycle containers are blocked by
- W ♦ The Ridge homeowners are in need of a new trash building--the current one is a disgrace!
- W ♦ We are fortunate to have exceedingly polite individuals collecting trash. I wish there could be more recycling, and if it is possible, individuals need to have better

**SNOWMASS VILLAGE COMMUNITY SURVEY FINAL RESULTS 2007
(SECOND HOMEOWNERS ONLY)**

Q10 Do you have any comments or suggestions concerning police services in the town?

- M ♦ Animal services personnel are wonderful
- M ♦ Art and his staff are exceptional
- M ♦ Casual, friendly and courteous
- M ♦ Comments heard: police are more interested in making money and s/s
- M ♦ Construction sites are leaving food in trash in The Pines and Two Creeks, attracting bears
- M ♦ Friendly, firm, efficiency-oriented will be important to maintain as the town grows/crowds dramatically in coming years
- M ♦ Get out on the roads!
- M ♦ Greater visibility
- M ♦ I see many locals speeding and rolling stops
- M ♦ I've lived here 15 years and have an overall positive feeling about the police services.
- M ♦ Make the bikers and jogger use the trails WE paid for!
- M ♦ Need better traffic control at P.O./village market intersection - too much traffic going too fast downhill
- M ♦ Noticeable increase in patrol cars enforcing traffic laws
- M ♦ Parking lots could be monitored after 11 - we have to pay; others come in free after daily lot check
- M ♦ Prior to Snowmelt being one way, noise from buses and trucks was terrible. Thurs. night summer traffic and noise was also bad. Place a squad car at Lickenhearth
- M ♦ Special events are not policed enough concerning amplified noise
- M ♦ Speeding a constant problem on Maple Ridge Ln.
- M ♦ The police are great!
- M ♦ Trucks are speeding from 82 to village!
- W ♦ Enforce No parking on private lanes.
- W ♦ Our police men and women are terrific. We could benefit from increased visibility in the mall and center though.
- W ♦ parking regulations on private streets could be monitored more closely

**SNOWMASS VILLAGE COMMUNITY SURVEY FINAL RESULTS 2007
(SECOND HOMEOWNERS ONLY)**

Q12 Do you have any comments on the Village Shuttle or transportation issues?

- M ♦ Aggressive drivers
- M ♦ Could use more reliable service at Woodrun V
- M ♦ Do a very good job
- M ♦ Excellent service!
- M ♦ Great job - this is one A-1 service!
- M ♦ I hate not being able to fit on a bus and then I'm late.
- M ♦ I have had several times when as a pedestrian was not given the R/W. On one occasion the driver was downright nasty.
- M ♦ If you want fewer cars in area, bus service must be improved
- M ♦ It's a needed service and done quite well
- M ♦ It's the best
- M ♦ Need a shuttle from Snowmass to Aspen direct from the mall at least if not from Rodeo or Brush Creek.
- M ♦ No upper Wood Road transportation
- M ♦ Not much service in Two Creeks at beginning of season/Thanksgiving to Christmas need more
- M ♦ Off season schedule not adequate
- M ♦ One of the finest services in SMV
- M ♦ Service should be extended to Two Creeks and The Pines
- M ♦ Shuttle from Tamaracks is nearly nonexistent due to construction during summer
- M ♦ Sometimes drivers are very courteous - others have a definite "attitude." One or two act like they don't want to pick me up.
- M ♦ The bike racks should be greatly improved!!
- M ♦ Though I use very seldom this service is essential for guests
- M ♦ We have no service to Bridge Lane
- M ♦ We live beyond your routes but Dial-a-Ride has been great when I had rehab needs
- M ♦ We should have some service to the Divide. Our homeowners contribute considerable property taxes for which we get few services. Children and domestic help have
- M ♦ We use rarely, but it is fine when we do
- M ♦ Why doesn't the shuttle go to the top of Horse Ranch Drive?
- M ♦ Why should condo renters have better service than owners
- M ♦ Wonderful service, at times some surly drivers
- M ♦ Would be interesting to see if route extensions would increase ridership/decrease car traffic (e.g. to ends of Oak Ridge and Sinclair)
- M ♦ Would be nice if they went farther up Wood Road on request
- M ♦ Would like more non-ski service in peak summer months
- M ♦ Would like service to Upper Horse Ranch
- W ♦ a shuttle up Two Creeks Drive during Winter and Spring vacation times would be nice.
- W ♦ As soon as the Lower Carriage Way is back to normal, there will be no problems
- W ♦ good service
- W ♦ It only serves our Ridge Run neighborhood at one point, so it doesn't work for us to use it.
- W ♦ Shuttle service is easy, frequent, and on time. I'd rather take the shuttle than drive. I suggest we encourage rental properties to post current shuttle times in each unit to
- W ♦ We have no shuttle service near our house which we certainly miss. Thus have no way of using buses. Guests must drive.

**SNOWMASS VILLAGE COMMUNITY SURVEY FINAL RESULTS 2007
(SECOND HOMEOWNERS ONLY)**

Q15 Do you have any comments or suggestions concerning shuttle services, parking or roads?

- M ♦ Additional stops at the curve before Snowmelt Rd., stop at Stonebridge is too far up
- M ♦ Base village needs more parking for non-residents of base village
- M ♦ Better parking for day skiers
- M ♦ Biggest concern is lack of good parking to ski areas for residents. Resent having to pay a fee for parking for Elk Camp lift.
- M ♦ Bus OK now but won't be with all the new housing
- M ♦ Construction is tough, but everyone trying to do their best
- M ♦ Current satisfaction with parking is SOLELY due to existence/availability/low cost of lots 1-13 and at Snowmass Center (post office, stores, etc.) These locations, no
- M ♦ Despite the size of the Base Village, I believe parking capacity is undersized for those who live here
- M ♦ Discourage long term parking in numbered lots - some vehicles are unmoved for weeks
- M ♦ Educate people (visitors) to use the shuttle - best system in the world.
- M ♦ Flatten surfaces of snowmelt road every few lots to allow for safe crossing in ski boots (Lot 12 please).
- M ♦ Generally excellent. Base Village construction impact will have to be repaired.
- M ♦ Given how tight parking is in summer, winter must be a nightmare
- M ♦ Greater frequency of shuttles. Concerned about what will happen after Base Village is completed.
- M ♦ Hate the new roundabout at Rodeo Lot
- M ♦ Homeowners should not have to pay for parking!
- M ♦ How are we going to handle all the new development?
- M ♦ How are we going to handle the increased volume of cars in the next 3-5 years?
- M ♦ Insure parking is available in Base Village garage for residents
- M ♦ Local roads will become congested as construction is completed and more people visit
- M ♦ Locals need pass when parking for village services over 90 minutes. Need to move gas station down into trees before entry roundabout (right side). Lowest area, set-
- M ♦ Make parking at Brush Creek bus service more attractive so people park at Hwy 82 and ride bus up to Village.
- M ♦ Make sure developers provide their share of new parking. Make sure their traffic studies are accurate.
- M ♦ More 15 minute parking at Two Creeks
- M ♦ Need more convenient parking to all amenities from the upper village to skiing and to the center
- M ♦ Need more drop off areas at mall and children's ski school
- M ♦ Need more parking areas and shuttle service to Aspen WITHOUT the connection at the Interceptor Lot
- M ♦ Need more parking at the Snowmass Mall
- M ♦ Overall shuttle service is pretty good. Parking to eat in restaurants or shops is awkward.
- M ♦ Parking is crazy now, I can only imagine winter.
- M ♦ Parking lots and roads need expanded - new growth should pay for
- M ♦ Parking/traffic situation at Snowmass Center inadequate
- M ♦ Shuttle excellent, parking very poor, roads average to poor
- M ♦ Shuttles help the most; except in the center where I need my car
- M ♦ There is never enough parking for special events - although we contributed every year to Fanny Hill concerts - we NEVER go anymore
- M ♦ Very rude director of roads; terrible overkill on culvert digging work
- M ♦ Wish shuttle service came to the Pines so parking would not be an issue
- W ♦ Cannot use shuttle service as not near our home. Village lots are often congested with no parking available for residents.
- W ♦ Enforce no parking on private lanes- not worried about parking lots.
- W ♦ Restrictions and controls will need to be put in place immediately upon completion of SBV parking garages. Otherwise there will be too many friends of friends and
- W ♦ shuttle service is wonderful and probably eliminates the need for a lot of parking.
- W ♦ Snowmelt Road is very dangerous
- W ♦ Summer travel in TOSV is miserable.

**SNOWMASS VILLAGE COMMUNITY SURVEY FINAL RESULTS 2007
(SECOND HOMEOWNERS ONLY)**

Q17 Do you have any comments or suggestions concerning Group Sales?

- M ♦ Academic professional associations are often small and might be targeted by group sales
- M ♦ Focus on bringing guests to Snowmass. Let free enterprise compete for special events for locals.
- M ♦ For smaller events I'd go straight to the Crestwood
- M ♦ Group sales seem to receive all the perks - which is fine to pull them in - just do not forget where they stay. If not for 2nd home owners renting units out you wouldn't
- M ♦ Market the town! Let private (sic) enterprise take care of the planning and execution.
- M ♦ More PR for Snowmass Village (NOT Aspen)
- M ♦ More visibility nationally, include all condominiums in events or at least rotate emphasis (sic)
- M ♦ No matter what we need conference space for conventions. This is where the summer can really improve for TOSV.
- M ♦ Not while construction activity guarantees dust and noise
- M ♦ Our unit at Laurelwood accommodates family groups thru on site management
- M ♦ Question decision process for spending town tax (just don't know)
- M ♦ Recruit basketball camp, more summer activities
- M ♦ Should be private not government
- M ♦ Should become more visible
- M ♦ Spent sometime seeing how other major resorts do it. Studies much the same as Town Council did prior to approval of base village
- M ♦ They are so concerned with all the events that locals are being crowded out - and we've very angry about it. And the absolutely ridiculous liquor policy is a deal breaker
- M ♦ Why is the Town in this business?
- M ♦ You put a town (illegible) meeting this summer in a non airconditioned? place. Feedback "we will never come back to Snowmass"
- W ♦ Group sales needs to be more aggressive in selling small groups to occupy condos without access to conferenece facilities.
- W ♦ Have never used.
- W ♦ Promote Snowmass more in foreign markets- Europe, Japan.
- W ♦ Promote TOSV to blacks - Get Tiger Woods to ski in Snowmass!

**SNOWMASS VILLAGE COMMUNITY SURVEY FINAL RESULTS 2007
(SECOND HOMEOWNERS ONLY)**

Q18 (Importance of issues and opportunities) Do you have any specific comments on your response to these questions?

- M ♦ Add extra floors to present conference center
- M ♦ All available in the Aspen/Snowmass community. No need to duplicate.
- M ♦ Aspen has all this- Snowmass is getting too big.
- M ♦ Aspen is so close. Let's be friends and share and then we don't have to have more buildings built and save the earth. Let's make it easier to get back and forth and
- M ♦ Conference center needed now at mall level
- M ♦ Conference centers should be upgraded
- M ♦ Good restaurants and a movie theater would keep our family in town instead of going to Aspen or down valley
- M ♦ Green initiatives in Aspen is the height of hypocrisy - second homes and Lear jets - spare me
- M ♦ I'm not sure what kind of events: sports, music? I'd like to see a music performance hall.
- M ♦ Intellectual opportunities connected with the Aspen Institute
- M ♦ Library - most children use computers today. They offer other services and local events, Aspen's probably enough.
- M ♦ Library would be great, but I'm not sure it would be used.
- M ♦ More efficient, consolidated services and functions like skating, library, and performing arts; but need our own movie theater, pharmacy, etc.
- M ♦ More interested in opportunities for exploring out-of-doors, art classes on the slopes, in the woods for example
- M ♦ Multipurpose events center, conference center equally important
- M ♦ Nice movie theater and need library!
- M ♦ Snowmass will continue to be empty after BV is completed if we do not have any cultural events
- M ♦ The TOSV needs to encourage the building and operation of more venues to offer activities and performances that will keep residents and visitors in Snowmass rather
- M ♦ This town is not Disneyland
- M ♦ We have too many events as it is! The local are totally dismissed!
- M ♦ We loved the movies and plays available in the past
- M ♦ We need conference center!! Not only for conference members but for their suppliers to show their wares.
- M ♦ We support any activity and/or facility that will encourage families to become repeat visitors and enhance the quality of life for all
- M ♦ We think family over conference - but we realize we need people to come and spend money
- M ♦ What can Snowmass afford?
- M ♦ What's wrong with the one we have?
- M ♦ With Aspen and Basalt available, don't need everything in Snowmass
- M ♦ You are a "suburb" of Aspen. You do not have to replicate all services!
- M ♦ Be careful not to add to many costly capital projects that will lead to increased property taxes.
- W ♦ Might a "mini-library" make sense for SV, perhaps in the Mall? We're probably not big enough for a full library, but what about one that would have the most
- W ♦ movie theater and would be awesome
- W ♦ Multi purpose PAC could function as an indoor multipurpose and / movie theater.; Snowmass did have a movie theater along with more community cohesiveness in
- W ♦ REc Center is great - now get more things for families to do in TOSV- MOVIE THEATER-; SAT cartoons!!
- W ♦ with the ease of getting to Aspen by bus, A performing arts center and movie theater might be hard to sustain

**SNOWMASS VILLAGE COMMUNITY SURVEY FINAL RESULTS 2007
(SECOND HOMEOWNERS ONLY)**

Q20 Are there other trails you would like to see added to our network?

- M ♦ Along Highline Drive
- M ♦ Better surface on Ditch trail up to "slot" only - too muddy
- M ♦ Better trail maintenance, get horses off the trails
- M ♦ Connectors to facilities without crossing roads
- M ♦ Continuance of Brush Creek Trail to Highline
- M ♦ Hard to judge in midst of current building
- M ♦ I love the trails!
- M ♦ Keep bike trails
- M ♦ Mettor Hill Trail to Divide on RIGHT side of Brush Creek Road
- M ♦ More variety, more easier/flatter, accessible for all levels
- M ♦ Need parking at trail heads
- M ♦ No, but parking near Owl Creek/Tom Blake trail areas!
- M ♦ No, the other priorities are far more important.
- M ♦ Open parking at Owl Creek!
- M ♦ Owl Creek trail below Fox Run Pond
- M ♦ Parking at Owl Creek for the Tom Blake Trail
- M ♦ Poor marking trails
- M ♦ Run Two Creeks lift in summer!
- M ♦ Something down Wood Road
- M ♦ Yes - as many as possible
- M ♦ Yes, nice additions
- M ♦ Yes; and make the existing ones better
- M ♦ You need more trails for bicycles and hiking!!
- W ♦ Compared to Ohio, the recreation services are outstanding.
- W ♦ Connection from lower end of Benidict trail at Lichenhearth to trail along Brush Creek above Tamaracks.
- W ♦ More trails would be great, but I haven't been off-trail enough to have a specific recommendation. Another easy trail I could take my parents on would be welcome.
- W ♦ Please finish and open the bike path from employee housing to the town center.
- W ♦ Would like information as to what trails are maintained and where they are located. Would like to see the designated ski access trails maintained.

**SNOWMASS VILLAGE COMMUNITY SURVEY FINAL RESULTS 2007
(SECOND HOMEOWNERS ONLY)**

Q21 What recreational facilities does Snowmass Village NOT have that you would like to see provided? Do you have any comments or suggestions concerning current

- M ♦ 4 pickle ball courts at rec center in place of 1 tennis court, have racquets and balls available to check out
- M ♦ A facility for children of all ages - basketball courts, indoor/outdoor pool and other activities
- M ♦ A heated outdoor swimming area (rec center needs to leave open next winter and let people know they exist!) Ice rink, indoor or outdoor.
- M ♦ Affordable access to the golf and more classes at rec.
- M ♦ Affordable golf
- M ♦ All Snowmass property owners should have free usage
- M ♦ Alpine slide for summer if possible via Burlingame lift
- M ♦ Alternative winter activities: snowshoeing, ice skating, ice hockey
- M ♦ Better parking for trails
- M ♦ Bike paths should be swept more often, pavement extended to road pavement at entry of Brush Creek path
- M ♦ Climbing wall, gym - for basketball, volleyball
- M ♦ Climbing wall, skateboard park, dog park
- M ♦ Finish new park at entry
- M ♦ I wish there were community pools closer to the housing, like the one that used to be in the village shopping area
- M ♦ Ice rink
- M ♦ Ice rink, movie theater
- M ♦ Ice rink, riding academy, outdoor bandshell
- M ♦ Ice skating
- M ♦ Less expensive daily/weekly for short term guests
- M ♦ Look forward to addition of volleyball/basketball courts and skateboard park
- M ♦ More for creative arts
- M ♦ More parent/toddler-kid classes in summer
- M ♦ More pools with easier access, better evening programming for children and teens - bowling, ping pong, campfires
- M ♦ More summer activity - minigolf, tennis courts, etc.
- M ♦ Movie theater
- M ♦ Movie theater, live concert venue, casino, ice rink, more winter sport Olympic grade venues/activities
- M ♦ Movie theaters
- M ♦ Movie theatre
- M ♦ Movies
- M ♦ Movies, library
- M ♦ National or State Parks
- M ♦ No more
- M ♦ Picnic area with tables
- M ♦ Pool should be open all day during winter
- M ♦ Should be directed more to tourists than to residents
- M ♦ Should have FREE gondola and more lifts to higher parts of mountain in summer
- M ♦ Skateboard park
- M ♦ Soft tennis courts
- M ♦ Spa
- M ♦ Take it slow. Way too much construction at present.
- M ♦ Too expensive (fitness facilities) for a non-resident
- M ♦ Too much already! More employee housing
- M ♦ Trails in lieu of sidewalks in neighborhoods
- M ♦ Walk-on camps or programs for short time visitors and/or their children
- M ♦ Water aerobics, gym for aerobics
- M ♦ Water park, movie theater
- M ♦ Water slide on Fanny Hill in the summer, lounge chairs by the pool
- M ♦ Why is the Town involved in this?
- W ♦ A park/gardens/picnic area would benice, perhaps one a bit larger than the existing parks.
- W ♦ A state of the art skateboard park and full service aeorbics facility.
- W ♦ Gym is needed- glad it is in progress.
- W ♦ I love tennis and have not figured out how to gain access to the courts
- W ♦ Love the rec center! I am looking forward to the new playground, soccer field, and vollyball court and skate park.
- W ♦ movie theatre, ice skating rink
- W ♦ MOVIES INDOORS
- W ♦ Soccer fields

**SNOWMASS VILLAGE COMMUNITY SURVEY FINAL RESULTS 2007
(SECOND HOMEOWNERS ONLY)**

Q21 What recreational facilities does Snowmass Village NOT have that you would like to see provided? Do you have any comments or suggestions concerning current
W ♦ Why do Snowmass property owners have to pay to use Snowmass recreational facilities?

**SNOWMASS VILLAGE COMMUNITY SURVEY FINAL RESULTS 2007
(SECOND HOMEOWNERS ONLY)**

Q22 Are there specific activities or features you would like to see at the Rodeo Grounds?

- M ♦ Better and more varied food - there are many vegetarians or vegans - please serve something other than junk food!
- M ♦ Better food, more covered areas, cover the stands
- M ♦ Concerts, demonstrations
- M ♦ Country singers
- M ♦ English riding academy and 4H club, bring back summer ballet school
- M ♦ Fiddler's contest with craft fair was fun
- M ♦ Get rid of it - use the space for something more in tune with guests' interests
- M ♦ I go when I have guests. Don't like the small children in danger riding the animals.
- M ♦ It's getting expensive
- M ♦ Keep that "old time flavor." Don't jazz it up with a lot of modern day crap just to occupy kids' time.
- M ♦ Keep the rodeo
- M ♦ Keep the rodeo. A great source of recreation that is NOT available in Aspen.
- M ♦ Landscaping and some western type buildings for vendors to use
- M ♦ Like it the way it is. Very low key and animal friendly
- M ♦ Meal before hand is a nice amenity (as) is Twerp Anderson's music
- M ♦ More diverse activities
- M ♦ More! Great event, unique to Snowmass, big plus
- M ♦ Positive experience
- M ♦ Rodeo should continue as a well-attended, unique feature. (Originally-planned gas station would have been good here at "entrance.")
- M ♦ The rodeo should stay. It is a wonderful summer amenity for families.
- M ♦ Too expensive to do very often - great for visitors
- M ♦ Unique features of Snowmass - keep as is
- M ♦ We like the rodeo and so do our friends and grandchildren. It is rustic and that is what rodeo is about!
- M ♦ While I don't yet know what is available, I'd like to be able to bring my horses back for the summer and have a place to board them
- W ♦ Keep Rodeo
- W ♦ Keep rodeo.
- W ♦ Perhaps make the grounds multi-purpose. We have been to the rodeo at least 15 times in the past 5 years.
- W ♦ Rodeo this week was wonderful, we have never been here in the summer before.

**SNOWMASS VILLAGE COMMUNITY SURVEY FINAL RESULTS 2007
(SECOND HOMEOWNERS ONLY)**

Q23 (Multiple use) Any comments on your response?

- M ♦ Are we going to have green space ONLY on mt.?
- M ♦ Dance and social programs bring people together. Gourmet club?
- M ♦ Do we have to develop everything? Open space is important to the overall impression of Snowmass.
- M ♦ Don't know how much rodeo adds to summer visitation. Multipurpose events could be year round to make better use of the facility.
- M ♦ If developed the rodeo should maintain its current character
- M ♦ If rodeo is keep (sic) intact, multipurpose bldg. is okay, but rodeo first.
- M ♦ It's unique and valuable as is
- M ♦ Keep that "old time flavor." Don't jazz it up with a lot of modern day crap just to occupy kids' time.
- M ♦ Let's not put 5 pounds in a 3-pound bag
- M ♦ Love the rodeo, creates local atmosphere, family activity
- M ♦ Much more parking for public (day skiers), village/merchant employees, and others will be critical at the rodeo grounds to ensure reasonable traffic and resident parking
- M ♦ No more
- M ♦ Only if you don not have to build another building
- M ♦ Please keep the rodeo as is. No more buildings, please.
- M ♦ Put employee housing there instead of above Little Red School House
- M ♦ Rodeo is a waste of money, promotes cruelty to animals, poor example to children
- M ♦ Rodeo must stay!
- M ♦ Rodeo not necessary any more
- M ♦ Rodeo, while not my cup of tea, is a good (illegible) attraction
- M ♦ The rodeo adds a "quaintness"
- M ♦ The rodeo is an unusual feature and should be maintained
- M ♦ The rodeo is only open one night a week for 12-15 weeks, seems like a waste of valuable real estate most of the year
- M ♦ Using rodeo grounds for other purposes would attract more people throughout the week
- M ♦ We would love to know the economics of the rodeo for the village
- M ♦ When you come into Snowmass it is wide openness - "beautiful" do not wreck it by developing this, rodeo is great - Snowmass needs this
- W ♦ I am not aware of whether there are horse shows in the area, but it would seem like a natural use. Also there are dogs everywhere all the time. Are there ever any dog
- W ♦ I do not like the concept of the rodeo and think our village could do much better with the space.
- W ♦ Keep Rodeo- that is heritage of SNOWMASS before skiing.
- W ♦ The previous question is leading.

Q25 How do you currently access government information? (OTHER)

- W ♦ TV
- W ♦ spouse
- W ♦ Snowmass Rotary
- W ♦ government TV
- W ♦ e-mail information

**SNOWMASS VILLAGE COMMUNITY SURVEY FINAL RESULTS 2007
(SECOND HOMEOWNERS ONLY)**

Q27 What additional information would you like to see provided from the Town and by what means?

- M ♦ Better info on future of essential retail services, such as grocery store, pharmacy, gas station, laundry, etc.
- M ♦ Can we help \$/or vote on issues online if not in town
- M ♦ Clear, concise literature - like the recently published construction/entry material
- M ♦ Council only communicates what it wants us to know. More consideration of community wishes and less pandering to real estate and commercial groups
- M ♦ Email newsletter
- M ♦ I have read "War and Peace" once
- M ♦ I try to keep informed by getting the paper on-line, but I often feel as though I'm uninformed
- M ♦ I'd like to see more responsiveness to citizens - we feel disenfranchised!
- M ♦ I'm not full time and read paper only when here. It has been negative against 2nd home owners. I stopped subscribing.
- M ♦ I've signed up on Internet to be informed of "Second Homeowners Meeting" but never gotten any response or notices. Better communications, efforts, and relationship
- M ♦ More bulletins on paper and website
- M ♦ More detail on base village construction
- M ♦ More timely delivery of Sun to non-residents as this is major info input
- M ♦ Snowmass Sun - weekly half or full page dedicated to Town info
- M ♦ The newspaper is pretty good.
- M ♦ Town newsletter to 2nd homeowners
- M ♦ Website and newspaper
- M ♦ Website is getting better. Less screens to get info is desirable.
- W ♦ Fewer (behind closed door) council meetings.
- W ♦ I only just found about the large amount of info available on the web. Wish I'd known.
- W ♦ Minutes from previous meeting take way too long to be available on line.; Viewing meetings by Granicus is fantastic!
- W ♦ Web stream Planning Commission mtgs and other key meetings of FAB, Mrktg Com and Second Homeowners Advisory Brd.

**SNOWMASS VILLAGE COMMUNITY SURVEY FINAL RESULTS 2007
(SECOND HOMEOWNERS ONLY)**

Q30 Do you have any comments on what you would change in the statement? (1=Not at all valuable, 5=Very Valuable, 6=Don't know)

- M 1 ♦ Be more specific.
- M 1 ♦ It is not convenient to travel up Brush Creek - due to all the cars etc. - due to added homes and condos, more people less quality of life - more everything = less is
- M 1 ♦ More specific - these generalities are of no value
- M 1 ♦ Politicians pick what they agree with and disregard the rest
- M 1 ♦ Quality of life for whom? Economic vitality for whom?
- M 1 ♦ Statement is/was ignored in Base development. What is unique or distinctive about it versus competition? such as Vail, Steamboat, Park City, Sun Valley?
- M 1 ♦ The statement is oriented toward a town with a basically commercial purpose
- M 1 ♦ They aren't living up to it
- M 1 ♦ They forgot motherhood, apple pie and Chevrolet
- M 1 ♦ Too wordy! Basically, it simply says you want to be another Aspen or Vail. If I'd wanted that, I would have chosen those areas! I like quiet, small, intimate, space,
- M 1 ♦ Town Council does nothing to promote the above statement. If land does not yield a profit to some commercial group, then they veto it - example no movie or arts
- M 2 ♦ Anderson Art Ranch is a major asset but no mention
- M 2 ♦ Be more straightforward and not so high and lofty
- M 2 ♦ Blah, blah, blah
- M 2 ♦ Costs are prohibited for a family of 5 and therefore "family oriented" is untrue.
- M 2 ♦ Is this just words or a true aspiration?
- W 2 ♦ It is like motherhood and apple pie, but it does not include the words "fiscally sound".
- M 2 ♦ It sounds great in theory, now it needs to be put to use, like any mission statement!
- M 2 ♦ Need actions to support the statement
- M 2 ♦ OK but not overdo expansion. Keep local beauty and charm.
- M 2 ♦ Sentences 2-4 don't belong in statement
- M 2 ♦ The value of the statement is only as good as how the Council incorporates it in decisions
- M 2 ♦ This is a summer/winter resort - how many more seasons do we need?
- M 2 ♦ Too complex a statement for most people to grasp. How do you intend to ensure its success?
- M 2 ♦ Too many non-stakeholders involved in tourist services to believe in this statement
- M 2 ♦ Too much bluster. Less than honest. What about employees.
- M 2 ♦ Try a different writer
- M 2 ♦ What value does an unknown, unpublicized statement have?
- M 2 ♦ Where does cost (i.e., taxes, RETT, special HOA fees etc.) come into the picture?
- M 3 ♦ "Unique and natural splendor" hardly exists after base village
- M 3 ♦ "Value" is a difficult point of evaluation. It is a good set of objectives. It is not what you say, but what you do.
- W 3 ♦ Add "physically attractive."
- M 3 ♦ Add language concerning crime prevention, drug use, and attempts to become a green community
- M 3 ♦ Add to end: "and our residents, homeowners and visitors will regularly rate their experience with us as highly satisfactory.
- M 3 ♦ Feel strongly that Snowmass needs to be marketed with Aspen re: skiing, family and adult activities
- M 3 ♦ I would again stress the outrageous ski ticket prices.
- M 3 ♦ I wouldn't want SV to become another Aspen. It would be better to retain its uniqueness away from the "glitz."
- M 3 ♦ Seems wholly-directed at satisfying tourists. Even last sentence implies quality of life and economic vitality as a resort, i.e. as a destination. Need to at least
- M 3 ♦ Too long
- M 4 ♦ Although the "resort" part is the economic engine, make sure you don't lose the "community" aspect. Perhaps reinforce that more.
- M 4 ♦ Continue to work with Aspen Skiing Corp. The overall Snowmass experience is the responsibility of TOSV (50%) and Ski Corp (50%)
- M 4 ♦ Doesn't really address full time residents except for the word community
- M 4 ♦ Harness the energy, skills and goodwill of employee residents and full and part time residents to encourage a congenial and mutually supportive living experience
- M 4 ♦ How much of that statement incorporates the ASC? They can't be held to their word.
- M 4 ♦ Local flavor and character - not just tourism
- M 4 ♦ Too wordy, should be one sentence that says it all!
- M 4 ♦ We must do everything in our power to affirm this statement
- M 5 ♦ Add opportunities for service to fellow residents, visitors, wildlife
- M 5 ♦ Add: four-star
- M 5 ♦ Always keep it in mind and remember the religious experience provided by the chapel is a very important item
- M 5 ♦ Go for it!
- M 5 ♦ Good thought went into writing this - especially like the words "steward" and "sustainable"
- M 5 ♦ I hope it stays uncrowded!
- M 5 ♦ If it is used! Not just on the wall, the elected Town Council should take it to heart not just give it lip service.
- M 5 ♦ Let us seek to be the largest, most varied, and as close to perfect SKI experience attainable
- W 5 ♦ No changes. Ironically, before I realized this survey would present a statement, I listed what I would write, and your statement captured all of it and more. We're

**SNOWMASS VILLAGE COMMUNITY SURVEY FINAL RESULTS 2007
(SECOND HOMEOWNERS ONLY)**

Q30 Do you have any comments on what you would change in the statement? (1=Not at all valuable, 5=Very Valuable, 6=Don't know)

- M 5 ♦ Omit Aspen
- M 5 ♦ Statement is great, but does not reflect what Council does
- M 5 ♦ There is no community. The construction has created a nightmare. The restaurants are empty or closed. There is no mall!
- M 5 ♦ Well thought out and presented
- W 5 ♦ WHEN successful?; WHO determines when - why not now?
- W 5 ♦ You have a good well thought out statement
- M ♦ "Successful" by what measure? Successful at providing healthy family entertainment? Successful at increasing an investor's bottom line?
- M ♦ I don't think we should be competing with Aspen. We are 1 destination and should complement each other. Getting here is a major problem. Getting between
- M ♦ It just seems that too often SV is considered the stepchild or appendage of Aspen - we don't emphasize the ways in which we are actually better (though I
- M ♦ It is all sales and marketing to make \$ not take care of the people or the beauty of the earth this "resort" is being built on.
- M ♦ It's a ridiculous waste of words - if the One Man Who Owns SV doesn't agree to it, it will disappear, just like Intrust and Skico and the Crowns!

**SNOWMASS VILLAGE COMMUNITY SURVEY FINAL RESULTS 2007
(SECOND HOMEOWNERS ONLY)**

Q31 (Sense of community - 1=Improved, 2=Gotten worse, 3=Stayed the same, 4=Don't know/no opinion) Do you have any comments or suggestion on your response?

- M 1 ♦ Council fights way too much. Without second home owners, this community could not exist. They need conseperation.
- M 1 ♦ Finally built the city hall; what's the latest on the gas station?
- M 1 ♦ Lessened attacks on second homeowners. Less them vs. us.
- M 1 ♦ Once Base Village was approved people calmed down
- W 1 ♦ Recently elected council members are helping balance the town's direction.
- M 1 ♦ There are too many nay-sayers still within Snowmass! The development and sports amenities are long overdue! I think it is great and mandatory for this "piece of
- M 1 ♦ There is a heightened sense of community but not agreement on issues facing the community
- M 2 ♦ All about money, money, money
- M 2 ♦ Any time you have as much going on as currently is, there is no sense of community - that will forever be gone
- M 2 ♦ Base village created - and continues to create - tensions among various stakeholders
- M 2 ♦ Base village is huge and yet chapel can't expand the way it would like to
- M 2 ♦ Construction has been disruptive
- M 2 ♦ Finish base village
- M 2 ♦ Full time residents are being pitted against 2nd home owners, and there seems to be a big divide bow I newspaper and often in town council.
- W 2 ♦ Has become very divisive. It seems the major owners of Snowmass property will get their way to make a huge profit at the expense of the residents. They will get
- M 2 ♦ Have a reduced ticket cost for beginner skiers and families. Skiing makes the wont and ticket costs are becoming exorbitant.
- M 2 ♦ Hopefully the politics cools down as base village takes form and life
- M 2 ♦ How many communities do you know in the middle of a building site?
- M 2 ♦ Ignore the negative people. Keep on going forward!
- M 2 ♦ Keep pushing for affordable housing - find a way to take care of deed restricted owners when they need to invest for renovation
- M 2 ♦ Less community and more company town
- M 2 ♦ Let's all get positive. The construction is here, so let's manage it (i.e. Town Council) and learn to live with it. Constructive criticism OK. Gripes - no.
- M 2 ♦ More people showed up for the service station, and still the "council" wanted to make it a strip mall!
- M 2 ♦ Most towns people are busy complaining about the size of Base Village. The "roundabout" is crazy. NJ took out the ones in the state.
- M 2 ♦ Pay attention to the consultants' studies instead of ignoring them. Stop wasting money on more studies and do something.
- M 2 ♦ People cannot afford the homes in the area to create a diverse socioeconomic profile. People who are "locals" used to care to make others "local." Now it's more
- M 2 ♦ People who used to love it here and work here are leaving. You have only foreign students who are not part of the community here. What will happen when you
- M 2 ♦ Questions of Base Village and single? ownership remain unsettling
- M 2 ♦ Second homeowners are treated very poorly when it comes to decisions made by the town
- M 2 ♦ Tension between year-round residents and second homeowners
- M 2 ♦ The entire Council should apologize to the Town for its cavalier attitude over the past 5 years and should admit how much they were paid to sell us out!
- M 2 ♦ The greed of local landowners-realtors seem to have killed the town as we once knew it. "We had to destroy SMV in order to save it." Yeah, right, save it for
- M 2 ♦ The negative people on B.V. should assist with a more positive attitude. We need to be more open to new proposals from Related West Pac. They can make B.V.
- M 2 ♦ The split in community is a result of Base Village. Any chance of improving the community feeling will not come until all aspects (including S.M. Center and old
- M 2 ♦ The town is being run by the real estate industry
- M 2 ♦ The village mall shops are in decline and look like they will be out of business in a year or two
- M 2 ♦ Too many hateful people in the press - Johnny Boyd!
- M 2 ♦ Too much dissention (sic) about all the issues being undertaken and the Town being purported (sic) to become a Ski Co. owned town. What a waste!
- W 2 ♦ Too much strief
- M 2 ♦ What community!
- M 2 ♦ With plan in place and well-better base village and related approvals in place, town council has inexplicably begun to gut those plans and provisions, both in
- M 3 ♦ Assure that Skico does not change the ski access / cut out old privileges
- M 3 ♦ It seems to be the same, the more you learn the less responsive it seems
- M 3 ♦ More community events
- M 3 ♦ Really little or no promotion of sense of community
- M 3 ♦ Some store owners are bitter with new development
- W 4 ♦ As a non-resident homeowner, I don't a feel for a sense of community.
- M 4 ♦ Don't like to see landmarks in village destroyed for larger more commercial buildings that don't promote "homey" family atmosphere. Seems more "money" oriented.
- W 4 ♦ I love Snowmass and feel the community is doing a good job. I can't tell you if it is better or worse.
- M 4 ♦ I'd say gotten worse but there is some sense of community in shared misery!
- M 4 ♦ I've never been invited to a community event in the 9 years I've owned a home, nor have I been invited to a smaller neighborhood event.
- M 4 ♦ More acceptance and inclusion of second home owners - a large part of population quite ignored
- M 4 ♦ We are only part-timers (4-5 months) and love it here, but we don't know much about the local gov't, partly our fault and partly the Village's
- W ♦ Base village is tearing apart teh community - still.

**SNOWMASS VILLAGE COMMUNITY SURVEY FINAL RESULTS 2007
(SECOND HOMEOWNERS ONLY)**

Q32 If you could keep one thing in Snowmass Village in perpetuity, what would it be?

- M ♦ "Our mountain"!
- M ♦ "The Mountain" and the only way that is going to happen is for Ski Corp to STAY AHEAD of the growth.
- M ♦ 1) Sufficient local town services at Center (post-office, grocery store, drugstore/pharmacy), and 2) sufficient resident-only parking at Center and lots 1-13.
- M ♦ A family orientation
- M ♦ Affordability
- M ♦ Ambiance
- M ♦ Anderson Ranch
- M ♦ Beauty, bliss and small town feel
- M ♦ Bring back the days of the Timbermill and dancing, a better après ski venue
- M ♦ Clean, friendly, open space and trails, uncrowded
- M ♦ Community involvement and spirit
- M ♦ Continue reasonable growth
- M ♦ Convenient access to the mountains for skiing and biking
- M ♦ Density
- M ♦ Doc Eason
- M ♦ Don't grow too big
- M ♦ Ease of use
- M ♦ Easy access to skiing
- M ♦ Family orientation
- M ♦ Family oriented facility with family hotel
- M ♦ Fanny Hill
- M ♦ Fanny Hill
- M ♦ Free shuttle
- M ♦ Friendly skiing
- M ♦ Friendly, local feel at post office
- M ♦ Good low density skiing
- M ♦ Grave yards!
- M ♦ Great skiing
- M ♦ Green space
- M ♦ Height of buildings - 7 stories is appalling
- M ♦ Immediate/close ski access
- M ♦ It is too late - to forget Base Village
- M ♦ Its beauty and character - both now being harmed
- M ♦ Its less glitzy image (compared to Aspen) and family oriented feel
- M ♦ Keep it low-key. Be very selective of TYPE of development. Don't turn into Summit County or Vail!
- M ♦ Keep its character and keep it smaller
- M ♦ Keep the mall intact, with new upgraded shops and activities
- M ♦ Lack of overt commercialism
- M ♦ Laid back
- M ♦ Large amounts of open space with year-round public access
- M ♦ Life style
- M ♦ Limitation on building and heights of buildings
- M ♦ Locals owning homes and raising families here
- M ♦ Mall fire pit (oops, gone), Divide Cabin (oops), Gracie's Cabin (oops)
- M ♦ Mountain chairlifts year round
- M ♦ Natural beauty
- M ♦ No McDonald's, Arbys - yet - give it a few years
- M ♦ No more development
- M ♦ No more land development
- M ♦ No tall hotels
- M ♦ Nothing will ever be the same - you ruined the concerts and the entire town
- M ♦ Open space
- M ♦ Open space
- M ♦ Open space/views
- M ♦ Open spaces
- M ♦ Open spaces

**SNOWMASS VILLAGE COMMUNITY SURVEY FINAL RESULTS 2007
(SECOND HOMEOWNERS ONLY)**

Q32 If you could keep one thing in Snowmass Village in perpetuity, what would it be?

- M ♦ Open spaces without crowding and without hype
- M ♦ Opportunity for families to live and work here
- M ♦ Outstanding skiing and hiking
- M ♦ Owl Creek Road
- M ♦ Paradise Bakery
- M ♦ Peace and quiet
- M ♦ Preserve and expand ski experience. Limit all further development beyond current approvals.
- M ♦ Privacy, number of houses, relaxing ambience
- M ♦ Quaint mountain lodge atmosphere
- M ♦ Quality of life without "additional" factions
- M ♦ Quality of ski slopes
- M ♦ Quality of the ski mountain
- M ♦ Quiet summers
- M ♦ Quiet, uncrowded, family oriented focus
- M ♦ Rather small but with movie performing arts theater
- M ♦ Reasonable size
- M ♦ Relatively quieter and more laid back than Aspen
- M ♦ Ridge lines and ski slopes
- M ♦ Rodeo, ball field (why was it reduced in size for the Labor Day concert), bike paths
- M ♦ Sense of community (let's not become overdeveloped like Deer Valley)
- M ♦ Sense of family
- M ♦ Sense of shared feelings for being here as a special place to be enjoyed and preserved
- M ♦ Service employees with a good attitude, not thinking the world owes them a living
- M ♦ Ski mountain
- M ♦ Skiing at a high level
- M ♦ Slow down/stop the speed of growth
- M ♦ Small community feeling
- M ♦ Small intimate size/feel
- M ♦ Small shops outdoors
- M ♦ Small town feeling and look (gone)
- M ♦ Something for a variety of people to do and learn
- M ♦ Specialty shops in mall, please no chains
- M ♦ Strong community of local fulltime residents
- M ♦ The "feel" of a village
- M ♦ The bank
- M ♦ The beautiful landscaping (flowers) everywhere
- M ♦ The beauty and serenity of the surroundings. Rural - non-congestion, horses, farms.
- M ♦ The chair in the village
- M ♦ The elevation, otherwise change is good.
- M ♦ The entrance
- M ♦ The feeling of openness, safe all the time, western, warmth
- M ♦ The flowers in the mall in the summer!
- M ♦ The great skiing
- M ♦ The green area near the Horse Ranch/rodeo area
- M ♦ The no-glitz atmosphere
- M ♦ The pristine environment and smallness, the quiet, the clear air
- M ♦ The shuttle service
- M ♦ The ski hill
- M ♦ The ski mountain - always the #1 attraction
- M ♦ The skiing experience
- M ♦ The skiing!
- M ♦ The skiing, hiking, biking opportunities
- M ♦ The small town feel
- M ♦ The small, "cozy" safe and secluded feelings enhanced by the openness of the Brush Creek Road and Owl Creek Valley entrances
- M ♦ The views
- M ♦ The weather and scenery

**SNOWMASS VILLAGE COMMUNITY SURVEY FINAL RESULTS 2007
(SECOND HOMEOWNERS ONLY)**

Q32 If you could keep one thing in Snowmass Village in perpetuity, what would it be?

- M ♦ The wildlife mitigation corridor - open space
- M ♦ Thursday night Fanny Hill concerts
- M ♦ Top family ski resort in world!
- M ♦ Tranquility
- M ♦ Try to stay small - it is probably too late!
- M ♦ Uniqueness of village, small community, but safe and clean
- M ♦ View planes/access to slopes
- W ♦ Anderson Ranch forever.
- W ♦ Doc- magacian
- W ♦ free thursday night concerts
- W ♦ Great people that love their community.
- W ♦ home like feel
- W ♦ Keep it family oriented!
- W ♦ Land
- W ♦ Open space
- W ♦ The excitement that comes from arriving in a beautiful, special place that we're doing a great job of caring for.
- W ♦ the family friendly atmosphere
- W ♦ The feeling that it's a resort surrounded by a community.
- W ♦ The wonderful facilities for all ages. Many activities for the young is vital. It will keep their parents make this their destination.
- W ♦ Uncrowded ski slopes

**SNOWMASS VILLAGE COMMUNITY SURVEY FINAL RESULTS 2007
(SECOND HOMEOWNERS ONLY)**

Q33 What doesn't Snowmass Village have (facilities and amenities) that you would like to see provided?

- M ♦ A golf course for those who can't afford to belong to Snowmass Golf Course
- M ♦ Alpine slide. Just finish what has been started!
- M ♦ Arts, letters, music
- M ♦ Better cell phone service, shuttle service in Pines, more restaurants, movie theater
- M ♦ Better cell service
- M ♦ Better parking, movie theatre
- M ♦ Better restaurants so we don't have to go to Aspen!
- M ♦ Better restaurants, quality hotel
- M ♦ Better/larger sewage treatment plant!
- M ♦ Bigger selection of restaurants
- M ♦ Central gathering spot, sports bar, nicer après ski, upgrade on-mountain restaurants
- M ♦ Classical music, dance, lectures, art movies to a performing arts center
- M ♦ Convention facilities that will attract people
- M ♦ Elder citizen program
- M ♦ Expand village shuttle to neighborhoods in winter
- M ♦ Finish the park
- M ♦ Gas station in the village itself
- M ♦ Gas station, car wash, more restaurants and light meal/delis
- M ♦ Get a better connection to the Aspen Institute upon completion of conf. Facilities
- M ♦ Good supermarket and gas station although what's here now is excellent
- M ♦ High quality musical performance hall and showcase folk, rock, classical music
- M ♦ High quality restaurants
- M ♦ Higher quality restaurants
- M ♦ Hopefully Base Village and new development will attract restaurants
- M ♦ Ice rink
- M ♦ Ice rink, low cost housing
- M ♦ Instead of looking for new places to spend money, stick to the basics, i.e. traffic, parking, police, fire, etc.
- M ♦ It is all about the ski mountain and facilities. On mountain restaurants are very subpar in layout, design and people handling ability.
- M ♦ Keep gas station where it is, improved grocery store building (store is great), pharmacy
- M ♦ Library, movie theater (or at least good source to rent DVDs)
- M ♦ More and varied restaurants
- M ♦ More kid friendly
- M ♦ More moderate restaurants
- M ♦ More parking near ski/grocery/post office
- M ♦ More rented employee housing
- M ♦ More restaurants, boarding stable close to trails
- M ♦ More upscale landscaping, better community business environment, more traffic by foot for them
- M ♦ Movie theater - better people mover to mall. Escalators instead of steps. Larger but accessible grocery store, hardware, more concentrated retail.
- M ♦ Movie theater or theatre in the winter
- M ♦ Movie theater, ice rink, more tennis, bigger pool
- M ♦ Movies, full time medical clinic
- M ♦ Movies, hardware, reasonably priced drug store and supermarket, more restaurants, more bathrooms
- M ♦ Movies, library, community meeting facility
- M ♦ Movies, restaurants
- M ♦ Need less not more
- M ♦ New town hall should have it's own adequate parking. New business conference hotel facilities (with hot beds) is/will be critical.
- M ♦ Open Café Suzanne in summer
- M ♦ Performing and visual arts facilities, especially in conjunction with Anderson Ranch; musical events (more than once a week)
- M ♦ Public pools and hot tubs
- M ♦ Recycling, can't believe we don't have it!!
- M ♦ Safe dropoff for ski school
- M ♦ Second homeowners should have input - their ideas welcomed!
- M ♦ Skateboard park, picnic areas
- M ♦ Ski lifts improvement on top
- M ♦ Something for teens after 6 PM
- M ♦ Tear down and rebuild the Snowmass Center, quick! It detracts from your new Snowmass.

**SNOWMASS VILLAGE COMMUNITY SURVEY FINAL RESULTS 2007
(SECOND HOMEOWNERS ONLY)**

Q33 What doesn't Snowmass Village have (facilities and amenities) that you would like to see provided?

- M ♦ Teen and children's programs
- M ♦ The clinic on the hill for ski injuries is appalling. Cramped, no privacy - Third World
- M ♦ Trash house up Wood Road, all 4 on-mountain restaurants are dingy and dirty, expand grocery and drug stores, add hardware store
- M ♦ Visual pollution board, sound ordinances
- W ♦ better connectivity
- W ♦ Free Rec Center for property owners.
- W ♦ Full service gas station with a car wash
- W ♦ Hopefully, Base Village will create and support a successful and charming commercial district.
- W ♦ Ice skating rink
- W ♦ More emphasis on all aspects of performing arts and motion picture exhibition.
- W ♦ MORE EMPLOYEE HOUSING IN TOSV
- W ♦ more employee housing, movie theater, keep family in Snowmass at night.
- W ♦ more restaurants, movie theater, retail
- W ♦ Movie Theater, gas station kept, cleaners kept, larger market
- W ♦ movie, ice rink
- W ♦ reasons to keep visitors in Snowmass--restaurants that are open, on-mountain ice rink

**SNOWMASS VILLAGE COMMUNITY SURVEY FINAL RESULTS 2007
(SECOND HOMEOWNERS ONLY)**

Q34 (Vision for the new Snowmass Village - 1=Not at all excited, 2=Somewhat excited, 3=Very excited, 4=Don't know what the vision is) Do you have any comments on your response?

-
- M 1 ♦ As a 35-year homeowner I see nothing improved
 - M 1 ♦ Getting overdeveloped, losing atmosphere
 - M 1 ♦ I am pretty scared of the future of Snowmass as it is
 - M 1 ♦ I don't think they are improvements
 - M 1 ♦ I don't view much of the current construction as "improvement"
 - M 1 ♦ I said enough. If I want NYC or Disneyworld I would be there.
 - M 1 ♦ I think the "improvements" aren't.
 - M 1 ♦ I'll move to Big Sky, Montana
 - M 1 ♦ It is way too large/
 - M 1 ♦ Snowmass used to be different from all the others
 - M 1 ♦ The village is being destroyed
 - M 1 ♦ These are NOT improvements - we'll end up like the Highlands - a ghost town!
 - M 1 ♦ Too much
 - M 1 ♦ Tourist attraction here we come!
 - M 2 ♦ Afraid town is getting too expensive for families
 - M 2 ♦ Anxious is more like it
 - M 2 ♦ Change is good, but smaller steps
 - M 2 ♦ Excited but dealing with the traffic and congestion
 - W 2 ♦ Fear of overcrowding. Need Restaurant at Sams knob immediatley.
 - M 2 ♦ Gondola helps leave that side of mountain open later
 - M 2 ♦ Hotel too large (over scale) in front of Deerbrook (4 million dollar) condos
 - M 2 ♦ I hope we get what was originally planned
 - M 2 ♦ I view all the changes with trepidation
 - M 2 ♦ I'm really waiting to see. The turnover in ownership has caused and unsettled feeling.
 - M 2 ♦ I'm worried that the character of the village is going to be destroyed completely and replaced by nothing but a dormitory village for skiers
 - M 2 ♦ Improved lifts and skiing access
 - M 2 ♦ It could be fantastic, but..
 - M 2 ♦ Look forward to it being done
 - M 2 ♦ More direction in planning for the future. Please outline and communicate.
 - M 2 ♦ New base village seems huge
 - M 2 ♦ Not sure how this will work if nobody can get here
 - M 2 ♦ Plan, intent, approvals were in place and progressing; recent moves to divert from plan/approvals and great piece-meal changes threatens outcome and puts
 - M 2 ♦ Remember that the mountain experience - not the village is prime. That's why we are here. Don't overdo it in town.
 - M 2 ♦ We're in bed with the devil "Related"
 - M 2 ♦ Worried about crowds and traffic, but excited about new amenities
 - M 3 ♦ All the negative crap will be proven wrong, it will be a world class place!
 - M 3 ♦ And a little apprehensive
 - M 3 ♦ But also apprehensive - we needed better amenities
 - M 3 ♦ But nervous and concerned that growth be controlled, reasonable and not too much
 - M 3 ♦ Do not overbuild!
 - M 3 ♦ Got to get a new hotel on board - pronto
 - W 3 ♦ I wish it could be finished in 3-5 years and not 7-10 years
 - M 3 ♦ Let's not add on any new town or private projects until we complete what we have
 - M 3 ♦ SBV, new shopping center, etc. great
 - M 3 ♦ We love it! Finally!
 - W 3 ♦ We need a more wholistic and cohesive process.
 - W 4 ♦ 'improvements' - or do you mean changes?
 - M 4 ♦ Look like to see quality improvements over quantity!
 - M 4 ♦ Stop changing it!
 - M ♦ "Vision" changes too much and too often

**SNOWMASS VILLAGE COMMUNITY SURVEY FINAL RESULTS 2007
(SECOND HOMEOWNERS ONLY)**

Q35 What are a few key elements you would like to see in the mall area?

- M ♦ A few more restaurants
- M ♦ A mix of dining, shopping and retail opportunities - a diverse architectural feel, no real estate companies
- M ♦ A real conference center/major hotel complex
- M ♦ Affordability of local merchants
- M ♦ Affordable rents for locals to operate businesses, not chains
- M ♦ Affordable restaurants and shops
- M ♦ Art, sculpture, better stonework, wider gathering spots
- M ♦ Better restaurants
- M ♦ Better restrooms
- M ♦ Casual restaurants
- M ♦ Cheaper restaurants, convenience store, keep it viable
- M ♦ Children's clothing
- M ♦ Clothing boutiques and restaurants
- M ♦ Coffee house, bookstore, good restaurants, library, movie theater/video rental
- M ♦ Drug store, happy hour bar, a few restaurants
- M ♦ Ease of access
- M ♦ Ease of access for families vacationing
- M ♦ Easier access, no slope to get to mall, ski shops, coffee and more and better places to eat Water
- M ♦ Flowers, fountains, benches, people
- M ♦ Gathering area (fountain/fire pit/stage for music)
- M ♦ Good pedestrian atmosphere; cafes; small shops
- M ♦ Good priced eateries and a variety. Hardware store. Nice combo of ski/clothing accessories stores. Ski service.
- M ♦ Good restaurants
- M ♦ Good restaurants
- M ♦ Good restaurants, clothing stores that are not only designer
- M ♦ Good restaurants, day spa
- M ♦ Good restaurants, interactive fountain (summer), live music
- M ♦ Gourmet food store, better restaurants, central gathering spot
- M ♦ Hardware store
- M ♦ Hardware store
- M ♦ I hope the existing merchants and restaurants will not be forced out by high rents and new building
- M ♦ Ice rink
- M ♦ Increased variety of restaurants, shops, and educational classroom facility
- M ♦ Keep it quaint. Like the existing mall. Stay away from modern, contemporary architecture. Keep the tower.
- M ♦ Less complaining that shop owners don't make enough money. They were the ones that expanded the mall.
- M ♦ Less empty storefronts
- M ♦ Less ski/sports stores, more general usage stores
- M ♦ Love the Xmas things
- M ♦ Low rise, places to eat, movies
- M ♦ Maybe we don't/won't need it
- M ♦ More activities for young adults, Panera Bread, and other restaurants and bars
- M ♦ More and better restaurants, upgraded grocery
- M ♦ More easy parking, good restaurants, more diverse shops
- M ♦ More friendly hang out areas
- M ♦ More informal sitting areas, more landscaping
- M ♦ More outside dining, art fairs, information booth
- M ♦ More restaurants and bar
- M ♦ More restaurants of high quality
- M ♦ More restaurants, rec center, better shopping, grocery store
- M ♦ More ski shops and restaurants
- M ♦ Movie theatre; cleaners open on weekends
- M ♦ Movies, affordable food choices, a market (all purpose)
- M ♦ Nightlife
- M ♦ NO newspaper machines
- M ♦ Non chain stores/restaurants
- M ♦ Old fashion soda fountain, pet board and care, movie

**SNOWMASS VILLAGE COMMUNITY SURVEY FINAL RESULTS 2007
(SECOND HOMEOWNERS ONLY)**

Q35 What are a few key elements you would like to see in the mall area?

- M ♦ Open space, restrooms
- M ♦ Parking, restaurants, ambience
- M ♦ Quality dining, a little more class! It's a little too casual looking.
- M ♦ Redevelop with emphasis on hotels, condos
- M ♦ Restaurants
- M ♦ Restaurants open past 9 PM
- M ♦ Restaurants, sales tax comparable to rest of valley
- M ♦ Restaurants, shopping, NO real estate agencies
- M ♦ Several good new restaurants
- M ♦ Shops, restaurants, movie theater, ice rink
- M ♦ Small ice rink (see Beech Mountain in SC)
- M ♦ Small, proprietor-owned shops
- M ♦ Some really good restaurants equivalent to those in Aspen
- M ♦ Something for the kids to do at any time
- M ♦ Tasters
- M ♦ The coffee place to stay open later
- M ♦ Turn it into condos
- M ♦ Uncertain - depends on how key elements would interface with Base Village
- M ♦ Upgraded restaurants, and more of them
- M ♦ Upgraded store fronts - some personality
- M ♦ Upscale shops and restaurants
- M ♦ Varied dining; perpetuation of the Cirque; more visible Visitors/Chamber access
- M ♦ Variety of shop types, restaurant types, not all high-end or national chains, no/few vacancies
- M ♦ Various shops, including ski shops and others resembling the old Oxbow shop
- M ♦ Viable and busy businesses and happy business owners
- W ♦ A compelling reason to go there. It's a pleasant place to stroll, but once you've seen the shops once and have your ski gear, there's not a lot to go back for. My
- W ♦ A greater variety of restaurants, a take out dinner and dessert facility such as near the Ace Hardware store in Aspen. It is wonderful to be able to buy high quality food to
- W ♦ Architectural variety, intimate scale and charm.
- W ♦ Conference Facilities and Performing Arts and Motion Pict Facilities and commercial complimentary to but not duplicative of Base Village
- W ♦ drugstore, grocery, casual lunch place, good dinner restaurant
- W ♦ equipment shops, fashion shops, restaurants (full service and to-go's)
- W ♦ great restaurants, great shops, bookstore,
- W ♦ landscaping, art
- W ♦ library, more retail
- W ♦ More restaurants (like Tasters). Let's keep the ski shops and avoid the over priced art dealers and jewelry shops. Save them for Aspen.
- W ♦ reasonable retail-not just t-shirt stores, wide mix of shops and eateries
- W ♦ The beauty salon kept.

**SNOWMASS VILLAGE COMMUNITY SURVEY FINAL RESULTS 2007
(SECOND HOMEOWNERS ONLY)**

Q37 (Town's management and communications concerning construction - 1=Not at all satisfied, 5=Very satisfied) If you are not satisfied, what could we be doing better?

- M 1 ♦ Communicate timing of construction/impact
- M 2 ♦ Communication since construction start is good - prior to vote and in lead-up period, disastrously poor
- M ♦ Communications are good. Gen'l management is doing all right - not superior.
- M 2 ♦ Email newsletter to second home owners as well as full time residents
- M 1 ♦ Email residents - update AHEAD of permit granting
- M 1 ♦ Email updates
- M 1 ♦ Get out of the way
- M ♦ How can I comment when this plan has never been communicated to me?
- M 2 ♦ Inform, control, decide quicker
- M 2 ♦ Involvement with condo owner and winter ski comparisons and cooperations
- M 1 ♦ Keep the roads open
- M 1 ♦ Keeping us informed, letting us know time frames, keeping to the time frames
- M 2 ♦ Maybe website and newsletter tracking of "red tags," week's construction events, % completion of most important 25 projects.
- M 2 ♦ More frequent bulletins
- M 5 ♦ More pictures of buildings under construction
- M 3 ♦ Move the project a bit quicker
- M 1 ♦ Nail the developers down as to their plans
- M ♦ Newspaper reports do help
- M 2 ♦ Not doing and redoing roads
- M ♦ Please let us know website link
- M 2 ♦ Provide advance info on road blockages
- M 3 ♦ Roads are not adequately marked at night
- M 1 ♦ Send out detailed maps of changes more often
- M 1 ♦ Slowing down, communicating better, representing community interests rather than developers'
- M 3 ♦ Stop construction all at the same time
- M 2 ♦ Too many changes from original approvals and timetables
- M 2 ♦ Traffic control, noise, dust
- M 1 ♦ Why do we finish one project and then turn around and dig it all up again?
- M 2 ♦ You are not communicating at all!
- W ♦ Communication is fine. The massive construction is very noisy. Trucks beeping early in the morning.
- W ♦ Currently Excellent.
- W ♦ Excellent
- W ♦ EXCELLENT
- W ♦ KAthleen does a great job getting the information to interested people.
- W ♦ More updated pictures on all projects.
- W ♦ The website has great current info. I just didn't know about it until now. Publicize the site more. Maybe a sign that people would read while they're stuck in
- W ♦ very good

**SNOWMASS VILLAGE COMMUNITY SURVEY FINAL RESULTS 2007
(SECOND HOMEOWNERS ONLY)**

Q38 Do you own or rent your residence? (OTHER)

- M ♦ Own our own home
- W ♦ Our condos do have deed restrictions
- W ♦ Will own new condo in SBV. Previously owned TIO's in snowmass Inn

Q42 Where is your residence within the Town of Snowmass Village located? (OTHER)

- | | |
|--|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> M ♦ Crestwood M ♦ Crestwood W ♦ Enclave W ♦ Homestead M ♦ Interlude M ♦ Interlude M ♦ Interlude M ♦ Laurelwood M ♦ Laurelwood original owner M ♦ Lichenhearth M ♦ Lower Willows W ♦ off Faraway M ♦ Ridge Run M ♦ Ridge Run W ♦ Ridge Run W ♦ Ridge run 1V W ♦ Ridge Run neighborhood M ♦ Seasons Four W ♦ Snowmass Villas M ♦ Stonebridge Condos | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> M ♦ Stonebridge Inn M ♦ Tamarack W ♦ Tamarack M ♦ Terrace House W ♦ The Enclave W ♦ the pines M ♦ Timberline W ♦ Timberline W ♦ Timberline W ♦ TOV W ♦ Under construction in Base Village W ♦ Upper Woodrun W ♦ Wood Road W ♦ Wood Run W ♦ Wood Run W ♦ Woodrun W ♦ Woodrun W ♦ Woodrun I W ♦ Woodrun V |
|--|---|

**SNOWMASS VILLAGE COMMUNITY SURVEY FINAL RESULTS 2007
(SECOND HOMEOWNERS ONLY)**

Additional comments from mailback survey:

- M ♦ All I have heard (about Town's housing) availability is terrible as well as quality
- M ♦ As a second homeowner and seasonal resident, we love SV and think most everything it has and is doing are great. We are very concerned with the short and long
- M ♦ Can't vote, just pay taxes and receive criticism for being a second homeowner. Someone is staying in my home 6 months a year.
- M ♦ How do you currently access government information? Other: Condo manager
- M ♦ How do you currently access government information? Other: Homeowner's Assoc, 2nd home owner board
- M ♦ I would like to be able to vote on town issues
- M ♦ Much of the "New Snowmass" has been built by the windfall of real estate sales, RETT, and taxes based upon increasing property values. Most projects have been
- M ♦ Overall, I think the folks here do a great job of stewardship. I don't think they consider second homeowners as a resource and that is a mistake. Some are not here
- M ♦ Please adopt a noise ordinance with set decibel limits and set hours
- M ♦ Thank you for the opportunity to participate. Have assisted in preparation of surveys in task, not easy task
- M ♦ Thanks for asking, you should do this survey yearly. The Town needs a brand name hotel as no one has heard of the Viceroy. I thought that was once a cigarette. Not
- M ♦ The key to most people's experience is based on the place they stay. In our example this is Crestwood. Be proactive in encouraging the complexes to be a friendlier
- M ♦ There are never enough employee housing units available and many of those are inferior - I've had friends and relatives who've been badly serviced by the Housing
- M ♦ Town Manager's letter re renovation of CC Townhomes was off base. Did it represent the feelings of the council? If not he should not have written it telling people not
- M ♦ When is this community understand (sic) that business needs to make profits. Profit is not bad. They must work with business not against them. Related West Pac is
- M ♦ Would love to get communication via email about Town. There is nothing here [Aspiration Statement] that truly says we have honoring our environment and earth.